

Dempsey Demands Half Million for Championship Battle With Carpentier in Paris

French Promoters Expect Bout to Draw \$1,000,000

Manager Jack Kearns Says Title Holder Must Get Guarantee of 50 Per Cent if "Gate" Reaches Record Sum; Insists Demand Is Justified

SAN FRANCISCO, Dec. 17.—Jack Dempsey has raised his price for meeting with Georges Carpentier for the world's heavyweight championship. Jack Kearns, manager of the conqueror of Jess Willard, made this announcement today, when he declared that Dempsey will demand \$500,000 if the successful promoter of a bout between the champion and the French contender realizes \$1,000,000.

"The stadium promoters in Paris have asked me they expected their house would draw \$1,000,000 for a Dempsey-Carpentier fight," Kearns said. "I will back it I would demand a 50 per cent guarantee and expect the answer to my message is in my office in Los Angeles to-night."

"Sparrow" Robertson, of New York, representing "Tex" Rickard, the American fight promoter, is conferring with Mr. Deacon with the object of bidding for a fight between Jack Dempsey and Georges Carpentier. According to the difficulties which it is believed would be encountered if Rickard could stage the battle in the United States, Rickard is reported to be willing to aid the French promoter in making the championship fight in Paris.

Rickard, according to Robertson, is willing to advance half the cost of the fight and share equally with the French promoter in the profit or loss. Negotiations here are said to be proceeding rapidly, owing to the expected arrival in New York within a few days of C. B. Cochrane, of London, who promoted the recent fight in the Irish capital between Joe Beckett and Carpentier, and who is said to be negotiating for a Carpentier-Dempsey fight in London.

Both fighters, he declared, undoubtedly would expect a very large sum in the event of a bout in New York, and were expected to be very well placed to receive the money. It would be expected to be a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"It is too much of a gamble," said Kearns, who has a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.


"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

"The minute you have a big bout with the one local authority to start a change their minds about boxing. Kearns will make demands that are very large, and the chance of a very large sum, and would be expected to be a very large sum.

It Happens in the Best Regulated Families

(Copyright, 1919, New York Tribune Inc.)



The SPORTLIGHT by Grantland Rice

(Copyright, 1919, New York Tribune Inc.)

Purely Personal

Chick Evans, the Chicago brilliant, played fine golf most of the year, and yet found 1919 the rockiest trial of his career. Nineteen-nineteen for Chick was just exactly the opposite of 1916. In 1916 he won the open and the amateur championships, the first American golfer to turn out this gaudy achievement. But when 1919 arrived he lost both titles. It is hard enough to have one sceptre shot out of your hand in a season, but to have two sceptres yanked away in an unseemly blow. Chick will soon begin practicing quick starts to go back after both titles, but the highway to the heights is becoming rougher every year.

Babe Ruth, the Human Depth Bomb, finds that seven months of baseball are not nearly enough to satisfy his yearning for the game. The Babe was adjusting his sights for outfield fences early last March, but when October came he could hardly wait to take the first train West, where California holds out its climatic lure. The Babe thereby gets in nine months of baseball, which is said to be bogie for one season. When you can lay against the seamy apple as effectively as the Babe does the game holds an added lure.

Georges Carpentier will at least meet with this pleasant experience when he meets Dempsey—whether the battle is in America, France or England he will find the bulk of the crowd pulling for him to win. A record of five years in uniform against a record of no minute in uniform where both men were slightly over twenty doesn't admit of debate. This friendliness of the crowd will help the temperamental Frenchman, but it won't affect Dempsey, who at the age of twenty-two in 1917, when war was declared, proved well enough the amazing thickness of his hide.

Eddie Casey, of Harvard, made a brilliant record this season by saving his team in the Princeton game and by beating Yale. It must be remembered in his favor also that he had no such help as Brickley and Mahan, who carried the assistance of such interfering stars as Tacks Hardwick and Bradley. Suppose Casey had had a Hardwick and a Bradley to help interfere when he started with the ball, or the help of such forwards as Pennock and others? In awarding him his final place amid football stars don't overlook these few important details, that mean more than you might think.

Pat Moran can look forward to 1920 with an untroubled soul. He may know that he will have keener competition to face from the Giants and Cubs among others, but any manager who has three such star young pitchers as Hod Eller, Jimmy Ring and Ruether, aided and abetted by others, hasn't very much to worry over. In the old days he had to worry along with one lone star, G. C. Alexander. Now he's fairly certain to get good pitching five days out of seven. Which is practically sufficient.

Fielding H. Yost, facing Illinois, Minnesota and Chicago next fall, is already busy advising his candidates upon a line of early spring work. The Michigan mentor has had one or two knotty seasons before, but nothing quite as melancholy as the last fall, where he dropped four contests to Western teams. The drop from 1903 to 1919 was terrific, but the veteran coach hopes to get another running start next October that will help to erase some of the bitter memories of the now swiftly waning year.

Ty Cobb is slated to succumb to the beckoning finger of Old Doc Time at some date, and there are many who are wondering whether 1920 will be the year that marks his downfall. The game's premier has ruled the field, with the exception of one year, since 1907. He has had a longer reign among the ancient order of Sons of Swat than any other player. He has led the big parade in twelve out of the last thirteen years, but as the old Persian poet put it: "Even this must pass away." No concrete thing is eternal. Ty is now thirty-four years old, and while he has several years of baseball greatness left, he can hardly hope now to maintain his reign indefinitely. The records show no one close enough last season to prove dangerous unless Cobb decides to lose thirty or forty points from his normal attitude at bat.

Benny Leonard, the lightweight mandarin, can look on beyond the advancing year of 1920 without a quiver. Benny is one of the few champions who can see no dangerous competitor in sight. Leading a clean life, keeping in tiptop shape, blessed by fine speed and a rugged punch, with youth still riding at his side, Leonard still has a long way to go as champion. There isn't an opponent in sight who looks to be within ten leagues of his ability.

Mitchell Calls Off Bout The plans of the Newark Sportsman's Club to stage a bout between "Pinkie" Mitchell, the Milwaukee lightweight who recently defeated Mel Coogan and Eddie Fitzsimmons, have fallen through. Mitchell refuses to box Fitzsimmons for the money offered him by the manager of the club.

Penn State Kickers Win SOUTH BETHLEHEM, Pa., Dec. 17.—The Penn State soccer team defeated Lehigh here today on a snow-covered field. The score was 3 to 2. All of Penn State's goals were made in the second half. Wilson scored both Lehigh goals shortly after the start of the game.

Could Defaults To Westerner In Squash Meet

Vanderbilt Defeats Grinnell and With Five Others Reaches Fourth Round

By Fred Hawthorne

Six men advanced yesterday into the fourth round of the annual national handicap squash tennis tournament being played on the courts of the Columbia University Club. The winners were G. De G. Vanderbilt, of Cincinnati; D. S. Baker and H. R. Stern, of Yale; G. Taylor, of Harvard; I. H. Cornell, of Columbia, and R. E. Fink, of the Englewood Field Club, New Jersey.

The tournament committee plans to run off several more third round matches to-day and to complete the filling out of the fourth round brackets to-morrow, according to schedule. Jay Gould, open court tennis champion, who performed so brilliantly in the Class A metropolitan interclub matches last week, did not appear yesterday to play his scheduled second round match with Vanderbilt, and so the latter was advanced to the next bracket, where he encountered L. I. Grinnell, of Harvard. Both men were rated as veterans at the game, had no difficulty in taking the measure of his opponent by a score of 15-12, 15-7.

Charles M. Bull Jr., of the Squash Club, and playing from the minus 10 mark, had to work at a fast pace to defeat W. S. Seaman, of Harvard (plus 5), by a score of 15-12, 15-10, 15-9, in the second round. In the opening game Bull did not get down to serious work, and Seaman, profligate by the huge handicap and playing at his best, did not have much trouble in winning.

But it was a different story in the last two games. Bull, while he did not "stroke" the ball with the power that he sometimes shows, played with such excellent judgment that his opponent found it hard to score an ace. The victor's hand and shot were wonders of execution, and he was rarely caught out of position. Bull used a balling change of pace many times to catch his opponent out of position, but when Seaman sought to adopt the same tactics the Squash Club star was "on the ball" like a flash.

The giant Carroll Cooney, of Yale, some years ago one of the outstanding figures on the Blue football team, showed a surprising amount of agility on the squash court yesterday in his third round match with I. H. Cornell, of Columbia, but the latter had a bit the better of the fast rallies and won by a score of 15-12, 15-7. Both played from the plus 2 mark.

H. R. Stern (scratch), of Yale, triumphed over George A. Walker Jr., of Princeton (plus 10), by a score of 15-12, 15-7, 15-10. The win did not get the full advantage of his handicap in this match, for in both games he allowed Stern to get on even terms before he himself started scoring, and there was no interest in the match from the more experienced player winning.

R. E. Fink, a former New Jersey state champion, handicapped at minus 10, won an interesting and hard-fought match with Wainwright, of Yale (scratch), by a score of 17-15, 15-10, 15-10. In the second game there was a startling reversal of form by both players. Wainwright finding himself and reeling off beautiful shots for the corners and the sides, while Fink fell off considerably. In the last game, however, the Englewood man backed and outmaneuvered his opponent in the rallies.

The summaries: Second round—R. G. Cooney, Harvard (plus 2), defeated L. I. Grinnell, Harvard (plus 2), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), defeated G. A. Walker Jr., Princeton (plus 10), 15-12, 15-7, 15-10. W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), defeated C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), defeated G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. I. H. Cornell, Columbia (plus 10), defeated G. De G. Vanderbilt, Cincinnati (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. R. E. Fink, Englewood (minus 10), defeated W. S. Seaman, Harvard (plus 5), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. C. M. Bull Jr., Squash Club (minus 10), defeated H. R. Stern, Yale (scratch), 15-12, 15-10, 15-9. G. Taylor, Harvard (plus 10), defeated E. Billington, Yale (plus 10), 15-12, 15-10